1		
2		
3	·	
4		
5	IINITED CTATE	DISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT of WASHINGTON	
7	AT SEATTLE	
8	UNITED STATES of AMERICA,)
9	Plaintiff,) CASE NO: CR15-391RAJ
10	vs.) MOTION FOR 60 DAY
11	SCHUYLER P. BARBEAU, pro se,) CONTINUANCE BASED ON) NEWLY DISCOVERED
12	Defendant.) EVIDENCE
13	Defendant.	<i>)</i>
14		
15	NOW COMES, SCHUYLER P. BARBEAU, Defendant, pro se, and moves this	
16	Honorable Court to grant this MOTION FOR 60 DAY CONTINUANCE BASED ON	
17	NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE for the following reasons:	
18	1) Mr. Barbeau requested copies of Discovery for his case from the Government	
19	that he had not received throughout his 18 month detainment at the FDC. The	
20	Government provided the requested Discovery on May 24, 2017 wherein Mr. Barbeau	
21		
22	and his paralegal went through the Discovery together at the FDC.	
23	Mr. Barbeau found in the Grand Jury transcripts in the testimony of F.B.I. Agent	
24	Daniel Bennett that he perjured himself. Mr. Barbeau realizes that making this allegation	
25		FDC SEATAC #46153-086
26	Motion for 60 Day Continuance - 1	P.O. BOX 13900
27	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	SEATTLE, WA 98198
28		

is serious yet Mr. Barbeau can prove to this Court that Agent Daniel Bennett did in fact perjure himself to the Grand Jury in the case a bar.

Mr. Barbeau needs time to research the law, prepare and file documents for this Court in order for Mr. Barbeau to have a fair trial with Due Process.

2) At the Pre-trial and Motion hearing held on May 24, 2017, this Court heard arguments on Mr. Barbeau's Motion to Compel Production of Nevada Discovery regarding Ammon Bundy, et al., Case No: 3:16-CR-0051-BR. The Government explained to this Court that they had spoken to the investigating F.B.I. Agents in Nevada and they were sure that no recording of an interview took place with Mr. Barbeau with an F.B.I. undercover Production Company that would hold any relevance to his case.

On June 1, 2017, the Government gave Mr. Barbeau's standby counsel a Discovery disk of a three hour interview with Mr. Barbeau from the undercover Production Company.

In listening to a portion of the interview with his standby counsel, Mr. Barbeau is certain that he can use the interview recording for his defense yet he needs time to have the recording properly transcribed, research case law, prepare and file documents to this court in order for Mr. Barbeau to have a fair trial with Due Process.

For the aforementioned reasons, Mr. Barbeau urges this Honorable Court to grant this MOTION FOR 60 DAY CONTINUANCE BASED ON NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE.

So Signed this 2^{nd} day of June, 2017 with a copy served to the ASUA via U.S.

FDC SEATAC #46153-086 P.O. BOX 13900 SEATTLE, WA 98198

Motion for 60 Day Continuance - 2

mail sent June 2, 2017 under the mandates of HAINES v KERNER, 92 Sct 595, "Allegations of pro se complaint are held to less stringent standards as lawyers..." Respectfully Submitted, Schuyler P. Barbeau FDC SEATAC #46153-086 P.O. BOX 13900 SEATTLE, WA 98198 s/Carrie Aenk - Court Appointed Paralegal

Motion to Compel - Nevada - 3